

United States Pater and Trademark Office

UNITED A TES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/694,927	10/24/2000	Victor T. Huang	8863.73US01	1712
23552 7	590 12/04/2001			
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 290 MINNEAPOL	3 IS, MN 55402-0903		TRAN LIEN, THUY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/694,927 Applicant(s)

Huang et al.

Examiner

Lien Tran

Art Unit 1761



The MAILI	NG DATE of this con	mmunication appears o	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply						
THE MAILING DAT	TE OF THIS COMM	UNICATION.	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM			
	•	the provisions of 37 CF clate of this communica	R 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed tion.			
	y specified above is le		a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will			
		he maximum <mark>statutory p</mark>	eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this			
- Failure to reply within			statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
	oy the Office later 1 an <mark>m adjustment. S</mark> ec 37		mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status						
1) X Responsive	to communication(s	ia(s) filed on <u>Sept. 14, 2001</u> .				
2a) X This action i	s FINAL.	2b) This action is non-final.				
•	•		xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	s					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-4</u>	, 7-14, and 16- . 7		is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the abo	ove, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗌 Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-4</u>	, 7-14, and 16-		is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌 Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌 Claims			are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers						
9) The specific	ation is objected to	by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing	g(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.				
11) The propose	ed drawing correction	on fil ed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved.			
12)☐ The oath or	declaration is 0 30	cted to by the Examin	ner.			
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119					
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).					
a) □ All b) □	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ !one of:					
1. Certifie	Certified copies of the Hority documents have been received.					
<u></u>			e been received in Application No			
·	application from th	ne International Burea	comments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). controlled copies not received. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
			e certified copies not received.			
14) ☐ Acknowledg	jement is made / a	a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
Attachment(s)						
15) Notice of References	Cited (PTO-892)		18] Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
16) Notice of Draftsperso	•					
17) Information Disclosur	re Statement(s) (PTO- ಾರ)	Paper No(s).	20) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/694927

Art Unit: 1761

- 1. The 112 second paragraph rejection of claims 1-27 is hereby withdrawn.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 7-14 and 16-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Savage for the same reason set forth in paragraph 4 of the previous office action.
- 3. In the response filed Sept. 14, 2001 applicant argues the recipe disclosed in Savage is a conventional recipe and the corn syrup solids used is a typical corn syrup solids having a DE of 36 to 43 because Savage does not recite the dextrose equivalent of the corn syrup solids used. The argument is not persuasive. Applicant's conclusion that the corn syrup solids used in Savage must have a DE of 36-43 is not supported by factual evidence. It is well known in the art that corn syrup solids having varying DE values are available. Savage's recitation of corn syrup solids without a restriction on the DE value would have suggested to one skilled in the art that any type of corn syrup solids can be used and the selection of the DE value would have been an obvious matter of choice depending on the degree of sweetness desired. It is well known that the DE value relates to the degree of sweetness. It would have been obvious to choose a high DE if a very sweet product is desired or a very low DE if a low degree of sweetness is desired. Furthermore, applicant discloses on page 7 of the specification that "high molecular weight starch hydrolysate generally have a low DE range such as about 1-50 DE, typical for use in the present invention the DE of the starch hydrolysates is about 1 to 42". Thus, even if applicant's argument that the corn syrup solids used in Savage must have a DE 36-43 is true, the corn syrup solids do not differ from what is allowed in the claimed product because the DE value falls within the disclosed range. Applicant further argues it is the starch hydrolysate having a DE of 1-20 that

Application/Control Number: 09/694927

Art Unit: 1761

allows the product to achieve the recited modulus. This argument is contrary to what is disclosed

in the specification because the specification disclosed hydrolysate having DE of about 1 to 42 can

be used.

4. Applicant's arguments filed Sept. 14, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date

of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lien Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-1868. The examiner can

should be directed to Lien Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-1868. The examiner can normally be reached on Wed-Fri. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

November 29, 2001

LIEN TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

group 1700)

Page 3